



KING COUNTY

1200 King County Courthouse
516 Third Avenue
Seattle, WA 98104

Signature Report

March 14, 2006

Ordinance 15384

Proposed No. 2005-0487.2

Sponsors Edmonds, Hammond, Hague and
Constantine

1 AN ORDINANCE relating to the Regional Wastewater
2 Services Plan reporting requirements, amending Ordinance
3 13680, Section 5, as amended, and K.C.C. 28.86.050, and
4 Ordinance 13680, Section 12, and K.C.C. 28.86.120, and
5 Ordinance 13680, Section 13, and K.C.C. 28.86.130,
6 Ordinance 12353, Section 2, as amended, and K.C.C.
7 4.90.010 and Ordinance 11398, Section 1, as amended, and
8 K.C.C. 28.84.055 and adding a new section to K.C.C.
9 chapter 28.86.

10

11

12

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

13

1. The Regional Wastewater Services Plan ("RWSP") was adopted by the
14 King County council in November 1999 by Ordinance 13680 and
15 subsequently codified in K.C.C. chapter 28.86.

16

2. The RWSP is a supplement to the King County comprehensive water
17 pollution abatement plan. The RWSP identifies projects and programs

18 needed to provide wastewater capacity for homes and businesses in the
19 wastewater service area through the year 2030. The RWSP also provides
20 policy direction for the operation and continued development of the
21 wastewater system and its capital improvement program. Many of the
22 policies include reporting requirements that allow for review and oversight
23 of RWSP projects and programs by the King County executive, council,
24 council committees and staff.

25 3. K.C.C. chapter 28.86 includes several policies that describe RWSP
26 reporting requirements related to odor control, treatment plant siting,
27 infiltration and inflow control, water quality monitoring, RWSP
28 implementation and review, and finance. The frequency of these reports
29 ranges from "as needed," to once every three years, though most are
30 required annually at different times throughout the year. Some of these
31 reports are redundant or outdated or have due dates inconsistent with the
32 availability of necessary information, completion of key milestones or
33 time needed to complete data collection and analysis. This ordinance
34 eliminates redundancies in the reporting requirements, adjusts the due
35 dates to reflect the availability of information, consolidates the reporting
36 requirements into fewer, but more comprehensive, reports and facilitates
37 future changes or additions to these requirements.

38 4. The King County council adopted provisos to the 2001 Budget
39 Ordinance (Ordinance 14018, Section 126) and the 2005 Budget
40 Ordinance (Ordinance 15083, Section 116) that included reporting

41 requirements related to RWSP projects. Although these ordinances are
42 only in effect for the associated budget year, the intent was for the reports
43 in these provisos to be provided on an ongoing basis. Section 6 of this
44 ordinance incorporates the reporting requirements of these provisos.

45 BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:

46 SECTION 1. Ordinance 13680, Section 5, as amended, and K.C.C. 28.86.050 are
47 each hereby amended to read as follows:

48 **Treatment plant policies (TPP).**

49 A. Explanatory material. The treatment plant policies are intended to guide the
50 county in providing treatment at its existing plants and in expanding treatment capacity
51 through the year 2030. The policies direct that secondary treatment will be provided to
52 all base sanitary flows. The county will investigate possible tertiary treatment with a
53 freshwater outfall to facilitate water reuse. The policies also direct how the county will
54 provide the expanded treatment capacity necessary to handle the projected increases in
55 wastewater flows resulting from population and employment growth. The policies
56 provide for the construction of a new treatment plant (the north treatment plant) to handle
57 flows in a new north service area, expansion of the south treatment plant to handle
58 additional south and east King County flows((;)) and the reservation of capacity at the
59 west treatment plant to handle Seattle flows and CSOs. The potential for expansion at the
60 west and south treatment plants will be retained for unanticipated circumstances such as
61 changes in regulations. The policies address goals for odor control at treatment plants
62 and direct that water reuse is to continue and potentially expand at treatment plants. The

63 policies also describe a cooperative siting process for the new north treatment plant and
64 its outfall.

65 B. Policies.

66 TPP-1: King County shall provide secondary treatment to all base sanitary flow
67 delivered to its treatment plants. Treatment beyond the secondary level may be provided
68 to meet water quality standards and achieve other goals such as furthering the water reuse
69 program or benefiting species listed under the Endangered Species Act (ESA).

70 TPP-2: King County shall provide additional wastewater treatment capacity to
71 serve growing wastewater needs by constructing a new north treatment plant in north
72 King County or south Snohomish County and then expanding the treatment capacity at
73 the south treatment plant. The west treatment plant shall be maintained at its rated
74 capacity of one hundred thirty-three mgd. The south treatment plant capacity shall be
75 limited to that needed to serve the eastside and south King County, except for flows from
76 the North Creek Diversion project and the planned six-million-gallon storage tank. The
77 potential for expansion at the west treatment plant and south treatment plant should be
78 retained for unexpected circumstances which shall include, but not be limited to, higher
79 than anticipated population growth, new facilities to implement the CSO reduction
80 program or new regulatory requirements.

81 TPP-3: Any changes in facilities of the west treatment plant shall comply with
82 the terms of the West Point settlement agreement.

83 TPP-4: King County's goal is to prevent and control nuisance odor occurrences at
84 all treatment plants and associated conveyance facilities and will carry out an odor

85 prevention program that goes beyond traditional odor control. To achieve these goals,
86 the following policies shall be implemented:

87 1. Existing treatment facilities shall be retrofit in a phased manner up to the
88 High/Existing Plant Retrofit odor prevention level as defined in Table 1 of Attachment A
89 to ~~((this o))~~ Ordinance 14712, the odor prevention policy recommendations dated March
90 18, 2003. This level reflects what is currently defined as the best in the country for
91 retrofit treatment facilities of a similar size. Odor prevention systems will be employed
92 as required to meet the goal of preventing and controlling nuisance odor occurrences;

93 2. Existing conveyance facilities that pose nuisance odor problems shall be
94 retrofitted with odor prevention systems as soon as such odors occur, subject to technical
95 and financial feasibility. All other existing conveyance facilities shall be retrofitted with
96 odor control systems during the next facility upgrade;

97 3. The executive shall phase odor prevention systems implementing the tasks
98 that generate the greatest improvements first, balancing benefit gained with cost, and
99 report to the council on the status of the odor prevention program ~~((annually. This report
100 shall include a listing and summary of odor complaints received and detail progress on
101 implementing odor prevention policies and projects))~~ in the annual RWSP report as
102 outlined in section 6 of this ordinance;

103 4. New regional treatment facilities shall be constructed with odor control
104 systems that are designed to meet the High/New Plant odor prevention level as defined in
105 Table 1 of Attachment A to ~~((this o))~~ Ordinance 14712, the odor prevention policy
106 recommendations dated March 18, 2003. This level reflects what is currently defined as
107 the best in the country for new treatment facilities of a similar size;

108 5. New conveyance facilities serving these new regional treatment facilities
109 shall also be constructed with odor control systems as an integral part of their design;

110 6. Design standards will be developed and maintained for odor control systems
111 to meet the county's odor prevention and control goals;

112 7. A comprehensive odor control and prevention monitoring program for the
113 county's wastewater treatment and conveyance facilities will be developed. This program
114 shall include the use of near facility neighbor surveys and tracking of odor complaints
115 and responses to complaints and shall consider development of an odor prevention
116 benchmarking and audit program with peer utilities; and

117 8. New odor prevention and measurement technologies will be assessed and
118 methods for pilot testing new technologies identified when determined by the executive
119 to be necessary and appropriate for achieving the goals of this policy.

120 TPP-5: King County shall undertake studies to determine whether it is
121 economically and environmentally feasible to discharge reclaimed water to systems such
122 as the Lake Washington and Lake Sammamish watersheds including the Ballard Locks.

123 TPP-6: When there are opportunities to transfer flows between King County's
124 treatment facilities and treatment facilities owned and operated by other wastewater
125 utilities in the region, the county shall evaluate them. Such evaluation shall include, but
126 not be limited to cost, environmental and community impacts, liability, engineering
127 feasibility, flexibility, impacts to contractual and regulatory obligations and consistency
128 with the level of service provided at the county owned and operated facilities.

129 TPP-7: King County may explore the possibility of constructing one or more
130 satellite treatment plants in order to produce reclaimed water. The county may build

131 these plants in cooperation with a local community and provide the community with
132 reclaimed water through a regional water supply agency. In order to ensure integrated
133 water resource planning, in the interim period prior to the development of a regional
134 water supply plan, King County shall consult and coordinate with regional water
135 suppliers to ensure that water reuse decisions are consistent with regional water supply
136 plans. To ensure costs and benefits are shared equally throughout the region, all
137 reclaimed water used in the community shall be distributed through a municipal water
138 supply or regional water supply agency consistent with a regional water supply plan.

139 TPP-8: King County shall continue water reuse and explore opportunities for
140 expanded use at existing plants, and shall explore water reuse opportunities at all new
141 treatment facilities.

142 TPP-9: A comprehensive public involvement program shall be developed and
143 implemented to provide the public, at a minimum, the opportunity to give input on the
144 criteria and the screening process used for selecting the list of possible sites for the new
145 north treatment plant, its conveyance system and outfall and to comment on the final
146 selection of a site. The King County executive shall establish one or more committees to
147 aid in the siting of a north treatment plant. The committees shall, at a minimum, evaluate
148 siting criteria to be used and propose a narrowed list of sites for consideration by the
149 executive after consulting with the council as follows:

150 1. The King County executive shall transmit a motion to the council that
151 establishes the criteria by which sites will be selected; and

152 2. The executive shall provide the council with timely reports that detail the
153 sites that meet the criteria and are under consideration and, at a later date, those sites that
154 are final candidates for the siting of the north treatment plant.

155 TPP-10: Based on criteria approved by the council, the King County executive
156 shall have the final decision on the site for a north treatment plant.

157 SECTION 2. Ordinance 13680, Section 12, and K.C.C. 28.86.120 are each
158 hereby amended to read as follows:

159 **Water quality protection policies (WQPP).**

160 A. Explanatory materials. The water quality protection policies are intended to
161 guide King County in identifying and resolving regional water quality issues, protecting
162 public and environmental health and protecting the public's investment in wastewater
163 facilities and water resource management. Research and analysis are required and will be
164 used to evaluate water quality in county streams and other bodies of water within the
165 service district.

166 B. Policies.

167 WQPP-1: King County shall participate in identifying and resolving water
168 quality issues pertaining to public health and ecosystem protection in the region to ensure
169 that the public's investment in wastewater facilities and water resource management
170 programs is protected.

171 WQPP-2: King County shall evaluate the impacts and benefits of actions that
172 affect the quality of the region's waters and identify measures to meet and maintain water
173 quality standards.

174 WQPP-3: King County shall forecast future aquatic resource conditions that may
175 affect wastewater treatment decisions and work cooperatively to identify cost-effective
176 alternatives to mitigate water quality problems and enhance regional water quality.

177 WQPP-4: King County shall participate with its regional partners to identify
178 methods, plans and programs to enhance water quality and water resources in the region.

179 WQPP-5: The King County executive shall implement a comprehensive water
180 quality monitoring program of streams and water bodies that are or could be impacted by
181 influent, effluent, sanitary system overflows or CSOs. The range of data to be gathered
182 should be based on water pollutants and elements that scientific literature identifies as
183 variables of concern, what is needed to substantiate the benefits of abating combined
184 sewer overflows and what is required by state and federal agencies. The executive shall
185 submit ((~~annually to council for review a written report shall include:~~

186 ~~1. Analysis and presentation of water resource sampling, environmental~~
187 ~~monitoring, economic and other improvement data. The range of data to be gathered~~
188 ~~should be based on water pollutants and elements that scientific literature identifies as~~
189 ~~variables of concern in addition to data required by state and federal agencies.~~

190 ~~2. Analysis regarding the impacts of CSOs and the benefits of abating CSOs.~~
191 ~~The range of data to be gathered should be based on what is needed to substantiate the~~
192 ~~benefits of abating overflows in addition to data required by state and federal agencies.~~

193 ~~3. Other wastewater research activities undertaken by the executive.~~

194 ~~4. The quality variability of biosolids over time.)) summary reports and~~

195 comprehensive reviews of this information to the King County council as outlined in
196 section 6 of this ordinance.

197 WQPP-6: King County shall implement and maintain water quality, monitoring,
198 evaluating and reporting programs to support the national pollutant discharge elimination
199 system for wastewater and other permit applications, and ensure permit compliance.

200 WQPP-7: King County shall actively participate in the development of water
201 quality laws, standards and program development to ensure cost-effective maintenance or
202 enhancement of environmental and public health.

203 WQPP-8: King County shall assess the risk to human health and the environment
204 from wastewater treatment and conveyance activities, and use this information in
205 evaluating water pollution abatement control options.

206 SECTION 3. Ordinance 13680, Section 13, and K.C.C. 28.86.130 are each
207 hereby amended to read as follows:

208 **Wastewater planning policies (WWPP).**

209 A. Explanatory material. The wastewater planning policies are intended to guide
210 the county in its long-term comprehensive planning for design and construction of
211 facilities that meet the wastewater needs of customers within the service area.

212 Recognizing that the RWSP is a complex and dynamic comprehensive
213 development guide that will regularly need to be updated, the county will conduct annual
214 reviews ~~((1-))~~ of plan implementation and its consistency with policies, and ~~((2-))~~ of
215 scientific, economic and technical information ~~((Every three years conduct a
216 comprehensive review))~~ as well as periodic comprehensive reviews of the assumptions
217 on which the RWSP is based.

218 These policies also express the intent of the council to request that the RWQC
219 continue review of the conditions and assumptions that guide the implementation of the
220 RWSP.

221 B. Policies.

222 WWPP-1: King County shall plan comprehensively to provide for the design and
223 construction of facilities that meet the wastewater system needs of the service area and
224 shall coordinate with other local jurisdictions to ensure that construction-related
225 disruption to neighborhoods is minimized.

226 WWPP-2: In planning future wastewater systems, King County shall make a
227 long-term assessment of wastewater system needs.

228 WWPP-3: In planning for facilities, King County shall work collaboratively with
229 other jurisdictions and look for opportunities to achieve cost savings.

230 WWPP-4: Facility sizing shall take into account the need to accommodate build-
231 out population.

232 WWPP-5: RWSP review processes. King County shall monitor the
233 implementation of the RWSP and conduct ~~((the following))~~ reviews of the RWSP~~((:))~~ as
234 outlined in section 6 of this ordinance.

235 ~~((1. Implementation. The King County executive shall submit an annual written~~
236 ~~report and shall report semiannually to the RWQC and the council on siting, permitting,~~
237 ~~design and construction of any new treatment facilities and associated conveyances,~~
238 ~~project cost estimates, schedules and issues of concern. The written report shall be~~
239 ~~submitted no later than December 1 of each year until the facilities to implement the~~
240 ~~RWSP are operational. The initial report shall identify key decision points during~~

241 implementation. The executive shall provide timely reports on these key decisions to
242 allow for evaluation for consistency with the adopted policies;

243 ~~2. Annual plan review. The county should ensure that the RWSP reflects current~~
244 ~~conditions. An annual review of the plan should address water pollution abatement, water~~
245 ~~quality monitoring results, water conservation and water reclamation, ESA compliance,~~
246 ~~septic system conversions to the regional sewer system, biosolids management,~~
247 ~~wastewater public health problems, compliance with other agency regulations and~~
248 ~~agreements; and~~

249 ~~3. Comprehensive three year plan review. A comprehensive review of RWSP~~
250 ~~shall be conducted every three years beginning in 2003. The purpose of the review is to~~
251 ~~evaluate plan components, including but not limited to: the planning assumptions on the~~
252 ~~rate and location of growth, phasing and size of facilities, and the effectiveness of policy~~
253 ~~implementation for I/I reduction, water reuse, biosolids, CSO abatement, water quality~~
254 ~~protection, environmental mitigation and public involvement. The executive shall~~
255 ~~transmit a report to the RWQC and the council on the results of the review and may~~
256 ~~recommend policy changes based on this report, changing regulations, technologies or~~
257 ~~other emergent or relevant factors. The council should convene an engineering and~~
258 ~~science panel to independently evaluate the report and recommendations and prepare~~
259 ~~findings.))~~

260 SECTION 4. Ordinance 12353, Section 2, as amended, and K.C.C. 4.90.010 are
261 each hereby amended to read as follows:

262 **Sewer rate.**

263 A. Having determined the monetary requirements for the disposal of sewage, the
264 council hereby adopts a 2006 sewer rate of twenty-five dollars and sixty cents per
265 residential customer equivalent per month. Once a sewer rate ordinance becomes
266 effective, the clerk of the council is directed to deliver a copy of that ordinance to each
267 agency having an agreement for sewage disposal with King County.

268 B. The King County council approves the application of Statement of Financial
269 Accounting Standards No. 71 (FAS 71) to establish a rate stabilization reserve for the
270 purpose of leveling rates between years.

271 C. As required for FAS 71 application amounts are to be placed in the rate
272 stabilization reserve from 2005 operating revenues and removed from the calculation of
273 debt service coverage for 2005. The reserve balance shall be an amount at least sufficient
274 to maintain a level sewer rate between 2005 and 2006, and shall be used solely for the
275 purposes of: maintaining the level sewer rate in 2006; and if additional reserve balance is
276 available, moderating future rate increases beyond the 2005-2006 period. If the estimated
277 amount of the reserve, as shown in the financial forecast, Attachment A to ((this
278 e))Ordinance 14942, needs to be adjusted to meet debt service coverage requirements for
279 2005, the county executive shall notify the council of the change by providing an updated
280 financial forecast.

281 D. ~~((Beginning August 23, 2004, and at the end of every calendar quarter
282 thereafter, the executive shall provide a report to the council on the latest cost
283 information on the Brightwater project, including an analysis of the potential impacts on
284 the sewer rate and/or the capacity charge or both.))~~ The executive shall provide monthly
285 cost reports to the council on Brightwater as outlined in section 6 of this ordinance.

286 SECTION 5. Ordinance 11398, Section 1, as amended, and K.C.C. 28.84.055 are
287 each hereby amended to read as follows:

288 **Metropolitan sewage facility charge.**

289 A. The amount of the 1994 metropolitan sewage facility capacity charge adopted
290 by K.C.C. 28.84.050.O. shall be seven dollars per month per residential customer or
291 residential customer equivalent for fifteen years.

292 B. The amount of the 1995 metropolitan sewage facility capacity charge adopted
293 by K.C.C. 28.84.050.O. shall be seven dollars per month per residential customer or
294 residential customer equivalent for fifteen years.

295 C. The sewage treatment capacity charge shall be seven dollars per month per
296 residential customer or equivalent for fifteen years for sewer connections occurring
297 between and including January 1, 1996, and December 31, 1996.

298 D. The amount of the sewage treatment capacity charge adopted by K.C.C.
299 28.84.050.O. shall be seven dollars per month per residential customer or equivalent for
300 fifteen years for sewer connections occurring between and including January 1, 1997, and
301 December 31, 1997.

302 E. The amount of the sewage treatment capacity charge adopted by K.C.C.
303 28.84.050.O. shall be ten dollars fifty cents per month per residential customer or
304 equivalent for fifteen years for sewer connections occurring between and including
305 January 1, 1998, and December 31, 1998.

306 F. The amount of the sewage treatment capacity charge adopted by
307 K.C.C.28.84.050.O. shall be ten dollars fifty cents per month per residential customer or

308 equivalent for fifteen years for sewer connections occurring between and including
309 January 1, 1999, and December 31, 1999.

310 G. The amount of the sewage treatment capacity charge adopted by
311 K.C.C.28.84.050.O. shall be ten dollars fifty cents per month per residential customer or
312 equivalent for fifteen years for sewer connections occurring between and including
313 January 1, 2000, and December 31, 2000.

314 H. The amount of the sewage treatment capacity charge adopted by
315 K.C.C.28.84.050.O. shall be ten dollars fifty cents per month per residential customer or
316 equivalent for fifteen years for sewer connections occurring between and including
317 January 1, 2001, and December 31, 2001.

318 I. The amount of the sewage treatment capacity charge adopted by
319 K.C.C.28.84.050.O. shall be seventeen dollars and twenty cents per month per residential
320 customer or equivalent for fifteen years for sewer connections occurring between and
321 including January 1, 2002, and December 31, 2002.

322 J. The amount of the sewage treatment capacity charge adopted by
323 K.C.C.28.84.050.O. shall be seventeen dollars and sixty cents per month per residential
324 customer or equivalent for fifteen years for sewer connections occurring between and
325 including January 1, 2003, and December 31, 2003.

326 K. The amount of the sewage treatment capacity charge adopted by
327 K.C.C.28.84.050.O. shall be eighteen dollars per month per residential customer or
328 equivalent for fifteen years for sewer connections occurring between and including
329 January 1, 2004, and December 31, 2004.

330 L. The amount of the sewage treatment capacity charge adopted by
331 K.C.C.28.84.050.O. shall be thirty-four dollars and five cents per month per residential
332 customer or equivalent for fifteen years for sewer connections occurring between and
333 including January 1, 2005, and December 31,2005. For connections occurring between
334 and including January 1, 2006, and December 31, 2006, said amount shall be thirty-four
335 dollars and five cents per month per residential customer or equivalent for fifteen years,
336 to be reviewed, approved or changed during 2005. For connections occurring between
337 January 1, 2007, and December 31, 2007, said amount shall be thirty four dollars and five
338 cents per month per residential customer or equivalent for fifteen years, to be reviewed,
339 approved or changed during 2006.

340 M. The amount of the sewage treatment capacity charge adopted by K.C.C.
341 28.84.050.O shall be thirty-four dollars and five cents per month per residential customer
342 or equivalent for fifteen years for sewer connections occurring between and including
343 January 1, 2006, and December 31, 2006.

344 In accordance with adopted policy FP-12.3.d. in the Regional Wastewater
345 Services Plan, K.C.C. 28.86.160.C., it is the council's intent to base the capacity charge
346 upon the costs, customer growth and related financial assumptions used in the Regional
347 Wastewater Services Plan ((as updated through every three-year review of the Regional
348 Wastewater Services Plan in accordance with adopted Regional Wastewater Services
349 Plan policy WWPP-5)).

350 In accordance with adopted policy FP-12.3.c., King County shall pursue changes
351 in state legislation to enable the county to require payment of the capacity charge in a

352 single payment, while preserving the option for new ratepayers to finance the capacity
353 charge.

354 NEW SECTION. SECTION 6. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 28.86 a
355 new section to read as follows:

356 **Reporting policies.**

357 The executive shall review the implementation of the RWSP on a regular basis
358 and submit the following reports to council and the RWQC:

359 A. Regional wastewater services plan annual report. The executive shall submit
360 a written report to the council and RWQC in September each year until the facilities
361 identified in the RWSP are operational. This report, covering the previous year's
362 implementation, will provide the following:

363 1. A summary of activities for each major component of the RWSP, including
364 treatment, conveyance, infiltration and inflow, combined sewer overflows, water reuse,
365 biosolids and highlights of research and development projects underway and proposed for
366 the coming year;

367 2. Details on each active RWSP project in the capital budget, including a project
368 summary, project highlights, project issues, upcoming activities, schedules, an
369 expenditures summary including staff labor and miscellaneous services, a description of
370 adjustments to costs and schedule and a status of the projects contracts;

371 3. A status of the odor prevention program, including a listing and summary of
372 odor complaints received and progress on implementing odor prevention policies and
373 projects;

374 4. A summary of the previous year's results for the comprehensive water quality
375 monitoring program;

376 5. A review of the plan elements, including water pollution abatement, water
377 quality, water reclamation, Endangered Species Act compliance, biosolids management
378 and variability of quality over time, wastewater public health problems, compliance with
379 other agency regulations and agreements, to ensure it reflects current conditions; and

380 6. An update of anticipated RWSP program costs through the year 2030;

381 B.1. Comprehensive regional wastewater services plan review. The executive
382 shall submit a written report to council and RWQC that provides a comprehensive review
383 of the RWSP. The report will review the following:

384 a. assumptions on the rate and location of growth, the rate of septic
385 conversions and the effectiveness of water conservation efforts;

386 b. phasing and size of facilities; and

387 c. effectiveness of RWSP policies implementation, for infiltration and inflow
388 reduction, water reuse, biosolids, CSO abatement, water quality protection,
389 environmental mitigation and public involvement;

390 2. The next comprehensive regional wastewater services plan review is due in
391 September 2007. Subsequent reports will be prepared every three to five years as
392 established by the council and RWQC following their review of the current report. The
393 specific due date will be based upon the availability of necessary information, the
394 completion of key milestones, and the time needed to collect and analyze data. The
395 executive may recommend policy changes based on the findings of the report and other
396 information from changing regulations, new technologies or emerging or relevant factors;

397 3. The comprehensive regional wastewater services plan review will include all
398 elements of the RWSP annual report, replacing it for that year;

399 C. Brightwater monthly report. The executive shall prepare a monthly report to
400 council for the Brightwater project based on a reporting format approved by motion by
401 the King County council. The reporting format shall include a project summary, project
402 highlights, project issues, upcoming activities, schedules, an expenditures summary
403 including staff labor and miscellaneous services, a description of adjustments to costs and
404 schedule and a status of the project's contracts. This report will be distributed
405 electronically and will continue until Brightwater becomes operational; and


406 D. Operational master plan. The RWSP Operational Master Plan that was

407 adopted by council in December 1999 shall be updated on a regular basis in conjunction
408 with policy revisions to the RWSP.
409

Ordinance 15384 was introduced on 11/7/2005 and passed by the Metropolitan King
County Council on 3/13/2006, by the following vote:


Yes: 9 - Mr. Phillips, Mr. von Reichbauer, Ms. Lambert, Mr. Dunn, Mr.
Ferguson, Mr. Gossett, Ms. Hague, Ms. Patterson and Mr. Constantine
No: 0
Excused: 0

KING COUNTY COUNCIL
KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON




Larry Phillips, Chair

ATTEST:



Anne Noris, Clerk of the Council

APPROVED this 22 day of MARCH, 2006.



Ron Sims, County Executive

Attachments None

RECEIVED
2006 MAR 24 PM 4: 28
CLERK
KING COUNTY COUNCIL